

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,685	11/21/2003	William R. Murray JR.	20006-7005	1898	
29141 SAWYER LA	7590 05/25/2007 W GROUP LLP		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 5141			NGUYEN, DUSTIN		
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/719,685	MURRAY ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dustin Nguyen	2154				
The Period for Re	ee MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (i - If NO perio - Failure to o Any reply i	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In different period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eccived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 No</u>	ovember 2003.					
2a)∐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is.						
clos	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application	Papers						
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/a blicant may not request that any objection to the blacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes drawing(s) be held in abeyar ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
3) Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because no label for Figure 2 as disclosed in the specification, paragraphs 0035-0039. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. It appears claim 1 would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill as a system of software per se, failing to fall within a statutory category of invention. Applicants' disclosure contains no explicit and deliberate definition for the term "subsystem", and in the context of the disclosure and claims in question, one of ordinary skill would reasonably interpret the subsystems as software applications. As such, the system of subsystems alone is not a machine, and it is clearly not a process, manufacture nor composition of matter.

Claims 21-23 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As per claims 21 and 27, the specification contains intrinsic evidence that "computer readable medium" includes electromagnetic, infrared and propagated. As such, they are directed to non-statutory subject matter [see Interim Guideline for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, MPEP, section 2106].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2154

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 17, 20, 21 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cocotis et al. [US Patent Application No 2003/0078965].
- 6. As per claim 1, Cocotis discloses the invention as claimed including a system [i.e. system for managing such as printing over various types of computer networks] [Abstract, paragraphs 0002 and 0005] comprising:

a network search subsystem for responding to a query to present a compilation of a set of a plurality of remote network resources [i.e. query for a list of available public devices] [Figure 2; Abstract; paragraphs 0005, 0201 and 0205], each remote network resource of said set having an identifier [i.e. URL] [paragraphs 0213, 0214 and 0300]; and

a remote processing initiation subsystem for associating an actuable control with one or more of said remote network resources of said set [i.e. remote printing of URL] [paragraphs 0304, 0308 and 0323], each said actuable control initiating a processing of said associated remote network resource at a remote processor responsive to communication of an initiation signal including said identifier [i.e. send data to device and printing completion notice] [Figures 16 and 18; and paragraphs 0204-0206].

7. As per claim 2, Cocotis discloses wherein said remote processor includes an image production system [i.e. render output image data] [Figure 53; Abstract; and paragraph 0005].

- 8. As per claim 3, Cocotis discloses wherein said image production system includes a printer [Abstract; paragraphs 0003 and 0004].
- 9. As per claim 4, Cocotis discloses wherein said initiation signal includes a metadata parameter of said associated remote network resource [paragraphs 0226 and 0210].
- 10. As per claim 6, Cocotis discloses wherein said metadata parameter is identified when said associated remote network resource is indexed for said network search subsystem [paragraphs 0154, 0280, 0344 and 0345].
- 11. As per claim 8, Cocotis discloses wherein said metadata parameter is identified by a process coupled to a compilation presentation system [paragraphs 0004 and 0246].
- 12. As per claim 9, Cocotis discloses wherein said associated remote network resource is a document in portable document format (PDF) and said process includes a PDF access process [paragraphs 0305 and 0323].
- 13. As per claim 10, Cocotis discloses a configurator process for configuring one or more processing options for said processing of said remote network resource [paragraphs 0323 and 0358].

- 14. As per claim 17, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 4 and 6.
- 15. As per claims 20 and 21, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 4 and 6.
- 16. As per claim 24, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 1.
- 17. As per claim 25, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 4.
- 18. As per claim 26, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 9. Furthermore, Cocotis discloses one or more metadata parameters includes a page count [paragraph 0346].
- 19. As per claim 27, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 1.
- 20. As per claims 28 and 29, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 4 and 26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2154

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 22. Claims 5, 7, 11-16, 18, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cocotis et al. [US Patent Application No 2003/0078965], in view of Blumberg et al. [US Patent Application No 2003/0140315].
- As per claim 5, Cocotis does not specifically disclose wherein said metadata parameter includes a page length of said associated remote network resource. Blumberg discloses wherein said metadata parameter includes a page length of said associated remote network resource [i.e. selecting type of paper] [paragraphs 0028 and 0068]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cocotis and Blumberg because the teaching of selecting paper type of Blumberg would allow user to try out multiple types, personalizing them and altering them on-line without the need for the printing company to run off physical samples in multiple styles [Blumberg, paragraph 0104].
- 24. As per claim 7, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 5.
- 25. As per claim 11, Cocotis does not specifically disclose wherein said configurator process estimates a processing cost for said processing of said remote network resource using said one or more processing options. Blumberg discloses wherein said configurator process estimates a processing cost for said processing of said remote network resource using said one or more processing options [i.e. cost determination] [paragraphs 0048, 0073 and 0074]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the

Art Unit: 2154

teaching of Cocotis and Blumberg because the teaching of cost determination of Blumberg would allow to add convenience services for user to view finished document before making the purchase.

- 26. As per claim 12, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 11.
- 27. As per claim 13, Cocotis discloses wherein said one or more metadata parameters includes a resource-size parameter [Table 17; and paragraphs 0363].
- 28. As per claim 14, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 5. Furthermore, Cocotis discloses wherein said resource is a document [Abstract].
- 29. As per claim 15, Blumberg discloses wherein said processing cost is included in said compilation [paragraphs 0028 and 0068].
- 30. As per claim 16, Blumberg discloses wherein each said remote network resource of said set includes its processing cost proximate to its actuable control [i.e. determine pricing] [paragraphs 0124-0130].
- 31. As per claim 18, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 14.
- 32. As per claim 19, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 9.

- 34. As per claim 23, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 9.
- 35. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached at (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,685 Page 10

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154